



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/615,849	07/10/2003	Hayato Nakanishi	116506	9980
25944 7	7590 04/10/2006		EXAMINER	
	RRIDGE, PLC		TRAN, H	ENRY N
P.O. BOX 1993 ALEXANDRIA	28 A, VA 22320		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2629	
			DATE MAILED: 04/10/2004	ć

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/615,84	9	NAKANISHI, HAYATO			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
		Henry N. T		2629			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion appears on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF TH TOFR 1.136(a). In no eve cation. Dry period will apply and will by statute, cause the appli	IS COMMUNICATION Int, however, may a reply be tind expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on 30 December 20	005.				
2a)□		☐ This action is no					
′=	Since this application is in condition for			secution as to the merits is			
-,	closed in accordance with the practice		·				
Disposit	ion of Claims	·	,				
·	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the app	lication					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
· —	Claim(s) are subject to restrictio	n and/or election re	auirement				
	ion Papers	in and/or diodion to	quii omoni.				
	•						
•	The specification is objected to by the E		7	_			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a						
	Applicant may not request that any objection			' '			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the			•			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	y the Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).			
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have beer	received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority do			on No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of t						
	application from the International						
* 8	See the attached detailed Office action for	or a list of the certifi	ed copies not receive	ed.			
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO		Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P	ate atent Application (PTO-152)			
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date	C.35/00)	6) Other:	*F			

Application/Control Number: 10/615,849 Page 2

Art Unit: 2629

DETAILED ACTION

1. The Amendment received December 30, 2005 has been fully considered; and this Office action is in response thereto.

Claims 1-13 remain pending in this application.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection provided hereinafter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-3 and 6-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yamada et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,690,110, hereinafter referred to as "Yamada").
- 5. Regarding claim 1, Yamada, Fig. 3A, 3B, 4 and 5B, teaches an electro-optical device comprising: a substrate (10); a plurality of first electrodes (6) disposed in an effective region (300) on a substrate; a second electrode (25) acting as a common electrode for a plurality of the first electrodes; a plurality of electro-optical elements (EM) each disposed between the second electrode and the corresponding first electrodes; first wiring lines (WD1, WD2 and VL) for applying power-supply voltages to the first electrodes; and a second wiring line (WD3 and

Art Unit: 2629

WD4), connected to the second electrode, lying between the effective region (300) and at least one of a plurality of sides of the substrate (the bottom region defined by the cathode forming region 25 and the sealing region 250), wherein the area of the second wiring line disposed on the substrate is larger than the total area of parts of the first wiring lines, the parts being disposed outside the effective region on the substrate (Fig. 3A, Yamada shows area WD3 + WD4 > WD1 + WD2).

Page 3

- 6. Regarding claim 2, Yamada, Fig. 3A, shows that the second wiring line has a portion having a width larger than that of the first wiring lines (WD4 > WD1 or WD2).
- 7. Regarding claim 3, Yamada, Fig. 3A, shows that the width of the entire second wiring line is larger than that of the first wiring lines (i.e., WD3 + WD4 > WD1 + WD2).
- 8. Regarding claim 6, Yamada, Fig. 3A, shows that the substrate (10) has a dummy region disposed between the effective region (300) and at least one of a plurality of sides of the substrate (the region which is outside of the effective region (300) but inside the seal region (250)), and the first wiring lines and the second wiring line are arranged between the dummy region and at least one of a plurality of sides of the substrate.
- 9. Regarding claim 7, Yamada, Fig. 3A, teaches the second electrode (25) covers at least the effective region and the dummy region.
- 10. Regarding claim 8, Yamada, Fig. 6A, shows that a connection (L5) between the second wiring line (WD3 and WD4) and the second electrode (25) lies between the effective region (300) and at least three of a plurality of sides of the substrate.
- 11. Regarding claim 9, Yamada, Figs. 3A and 4, shows a plurality of the first electrodes (WD1, WD2, and VL) are each included in corresponding pixel electrodes arranged in the

WD3 + WD4 + 2L5 > Wd1 + WD2).

Art Unit: 2629

effective region and each include a plurality of control lines (GL and DL) for transmitting signals for controlling the pixel electrodes (6), and a plurality of the control lines are arranged such that each control line and at least one of the first wiring lines and the second wiring line do not cross on the substrate.

Page 4

- 12. Regarding claim 10, Yamada, Fig. 4, shows that the control lines each include corresponding scanning lines (GL) for transmitting scanning signals to the corresponding pixel electrodes and also each include corresponding data lines (DL) for transmitting data signals to the corresponding pixel electrodes.
- Regarding claim 11, Yamada, Fig. 5B, shows the electro-optical elements (EM) each 13. include corresponding hole injection/transport layers (21, 22 and 24) and corresponding lightemitting layers (23) containing an organic electroluminescent material, each hole injection/transport layer and light-emitting layer being stacked.
- 14. Regarding claim 12, Yamada further teaches that an electronic apparatus, such as an electroluminescent display device, comprising an electro-optical device according to claim 1; see col. 13, lines 39-45.
- Regarding claim 13, which comprises similar claimed elements and limitations of claim 15. 1, and is therefore rejected on the same basis set forth in claim 1 discussed above. (Note that, Yamada, Fig. 6A, shows, the area of the second wiring line, i.e., WD3 + WD4 + 2L5, disposed on the substrate (10) is larger than the total area of parts of the first wiring lines, the parts being disposed outside the effective region on the substrate, i.e., Wd1 +WD2; wherein,

Art Unit: 2629

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 5

16. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 17. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamada in view of Yamazaki et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,825,820, hereinafter referred to as "Yamazaki").

Yamada teaches generally all except for the: (i) a plurality of the electro-optical elements include a plurality of types of elements classified depending on the color of light emitted from the light-emitting layers, and the first wiring lines are arranged depending on the color of emitted light; and (ii) the width of the second wiring line disposed outside the effective region is larger than the width of part of one of the first wiring lines arranged depending on the type of the electro-optical elements, the part being disposed outside the effective region, the one being the widest of the first wiring lines.

Yamazaki, Figs. 1A, 1B, 14A and 14B, teaches, a plurality of types of elements (106) classified depending on the color of light emitted from the light-emitting layers (RGB EL elements); and the first wiring lines, 107r, 107b and 107g, are arranged depending on the color of emitted light; see col. 5, line 41 to col. 6, line 43.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the Yamazaki RGB EL elements structure with wiring width selection with the Yamada wiring arrangements because this would adjust the potentials needed for the

Art Unit: 2629

pixels of each of the colors to maintain a balance in the light emitting brightness of the color display device; see Yamazaki, col. 3, lines 6-9.

Claims 4 and 5 are dependent upon the base claim 1, and are therefore rejected on the same reasons set forth in claim 1, and by the reasons discussed above.

Conclusion

18. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Henry N. Tran whose telephone number is 571-272-7760. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, RICHARD A. HJERPE can be reached on 571-272-7691. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Henry N Tran

Primary Examiner

Huny N. Tom

Page 6

Art Unit 2629